Eamily Name	Louton
Family Name Given Name	Lawton Mark
Person ID	
	1285973
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Family Name	Lawton
Given Name	Mark
Person ID	1285973
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	This green field land had already had hundreds of houses built adjacent to it in recent years without any practical thought applied to it. This development would only compound this mistake. The traffic in this area is already clogged up each work day. Although it is 6-7 miles from Manchester city centre, it already has traffic jam and congestion similar to a busy city centre. How can Wigan CC permit his when there are many other areas with poulation density which is far less? The area will just become a massive car park with all he resulting carbon emissions and risk to health.  Without ant new roads, schools, doctors etc. the areas is just becoming a big estate with no green areas left to "breathe" and exercise. The Loopline has been a big success for walkers, cyclists etc. so to simply build all around it would be a backwards step, particularly when other areas of the borough are far better suited to new house building in terms of impact on the roads and the environment.
	The area shown is already close to many football pitches and even a cemetary.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Consider other areas closer to Wigan town centre and other smaller towns in the borough, not an area which is nearly 100% residential already. If robust common sense traffic and air pollution checks do not take place on weekdays between 8-9 and 4-6 on the link and access roads on the plans then this should be stated as illegal. Carbon-free by 2030?? This area will have increased pollution already with developments done in the last 2 years BEFORE this is even contemplated.